

Algebra and Number Theory

Solve every problem.

Problem 1.

- (a) Let $p(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0 \in R[x]$ be a polynomial over an integral domain R . Let K denote the fraction field of R . Suppose $a/b \in K$ is a root of $p(x)$, where $a, b \in R$ and are relatively prime. Then, show that $a|a_0$ and $b|a_n$.
- (b) Prove that $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$.

Solution:

- (a) Easy.
- (b) It suffices to show $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) \subset \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$.

Let $\alpha = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$. It is a root of

$$p(x) = x^4 - 10x^2 + 1.$$

By (a), $p(x)$ has no rational roots. We claim that $p(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbf{Z} . Otherwise, $p(x) = (a + bx + cx^2)(d + ex + fx^2)$. A direct computation will yield such a decomposition is impossible. Hence, $p(x)$ is a minimal polynomial α over \mathbf{Q} . As $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ is a vector subspace of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$, we obtain

$$4 = \dim \mathbf{Q}(\alpha) \leq \dim \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) \leq 4.$$

This implies the statement.

Problem 2. Let R be an integral domain with the fraction field K . An R -module P is projective if there is an R -module Q such that $P \oplus Q \cong F$ for some free R -module F . A fractional ideal A is an R -submodule of K such that $A = d^{-1}I$ for some ideal I of R and a nonzero element $d \in R$. A fractional ideal A is called invertible if $AB = R$ for some fractional ideal B .

Show that an invertible fractional ideal A is a projective R -module.

Solution: Assume that A is an invertible fractional ideal. Let A^{-1} its inverse. Then

$$a_1 a'_1 + \cdots + a_n a'_n = 1$$

for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and $a'_1, \dots, a'_n \in A^{-1}$ since $AA^{-1} = R$. Let S be a free R -module of rank n , say, generated by y_1, \dots, y_n . Define $\varphi : S \rightarrow A$ by $\varphi(y_i) = a_i$ and $\psi : A \rightarrow S$ by $\psi(c) = c(a'_1 y_1 + \cdots + a'_n y_n)$. This makes sense because $ca'_i \in R$. Obviously, $\varphi\psi = id_A$, hence A is a direct summand of S . In other words, A is a projective module.

Problem 3. Give a *direct* proof that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(6, \mathbf{C})$. (You should construct a Lie algebra homomorphism and prove that it is an isomorphism; you should not use Dynkin diagrams or the classification theory of simple Lie algebras.)

Solution: We have the representation $\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(W)$ where $W = \wedge^2 \mathbf{C}^4$. Let e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 be the standard basis of \mathbf{C}^4 . Then $e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$ gives an identification $\wedge^4 \mathbf{C}^4 \cong \mathbf{C}$. We define a complex symmetric bilinear form $S : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by

$$S(\theta, \tau) = \theta \wedge \tau \in \wedge^4 \mathbf{C}^4 \cong \mathbf{C}$$

for $\theta, \tau \in W$. By writing out an explicit orthogonal basis, you can show that S is non-degenerate. Then, one checks that for any $A \in \mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbf{C})$, we have

$$S(A\theta, \tau) + S(\theta, A\tau) = A\theta \wedge \tau + \theta \wedge A\tau = A(\theta \wedge \tau) = 0.$$

Note here that $\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbf{C})$ acts trivially on $\wedge^4 \mathbf{C}^4$.

Hence, we obtain a Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(6, \mathbf{C})$. This must be an isomorphism since both Lie algebras have the same dimension and $\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbf{C})$ is simple.

Problem 4. Let $A = \mathcal{O}_K$ be the ring of integers of a number field K . Given a nonzero ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ and an arbitrary nonzero element $a \in \mathfrak{a}$, show that there exists $b \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that a and b generate \mathfrak{a} (in particular, every ideal is 2-generated).

Solution: Since \mathcal{O}_K is a Dedekind domain, every nonzero ideal of it has a unique factorization as a product of nonzero prime ideals. Write $aA = \mathfrak{p}_1^{n_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{n_r}$ (\mathfrak{p}_i 's are distinct nonzero prime ideals of A , $n_i \in \mathbf{N}$). Since $a \in \mathfrak{a}$, we have \mathfrak{a} divides aA , so that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{m_r}$ with $0 \leq m_i \leq n_i$. For each i , pick $x_i \in \mathfrak{p}_i^{m_i} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_i^{m_i+1}$. Since $\mathfrak{p}_i^{m_i+1}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_j^{m_j+1}$ are coprime when $i \neq j$, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, the system of congruences $b \equiv x_i \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i^{m_i+1}}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$ has a solution $b \in A$.

By the congruence relation above, we see that $b \in \mathfrak{p}_i^{m_i} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_i^{m_i+1}$ as well. So for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, the order of \mathfrak{p}_i in the prime ideal factorization of bA is exactly m_i . In other words

$$bA = \mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{m_r} \mathfrak{q}_1^{k_1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_l^{k_l}, \quad m_i, k_j > 0,$$

where \mathfrak{q}_j 's are nonzero prime ideals different from those \mathfrak{p}_i 's (if they exist). Therefore

$$aA + bA = \mathfrak{p}_1^{\min\{m_1, n_1\}} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{\min\{m_r, n_r\}} \mathfrak{q}_1^{\min\{0, k_1\}} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_l^{\min\{0, k_l\}} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{m_r} = \mathfrak{a},$$

as desired.

Problem 5. Let p be a prime number and ζ_p be a primitive p -th root of unity. Let $K = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$.

- (a) Show that $\Phi_p = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} X^i$ is the minimal polynomial of ζ_p over \mathbf{Q} .
- (b) Compute the trace $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(1 - \zeta_p)$ and the norm $\mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(1 - \zeta_p)$.
- (c) Show that $(1 - \zeta_p)\mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathbf{Z} = p\mathbf{Z}$ and deduce that for all $y \in \mathcal{O}_K$, we have

$$\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(y(1 - \zeta_p)) \in p\mathbf{Z}.$$

- (d) Determine explicitly the ring of integers of K .

Solution:

- (a) Consider $g(X) = \Phi_p(X+1) = (X+1)^{p-1} + \cdots + X + 1$. Then $g(X) = \frac{1}{X}[(X+1)^p - 1] = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{i+1} X^i$. Notice that for all $0 \leq i \leq p-2$, we have $p \mid \binom{p}{i+1}$, but $p^2 \nmid \binom{p}{1} = p$. By the Eisenstein's criterion, $g(X)$ is irreducible over \mathbf{Q} , so is $\Phi_p(X) = g(X-1)$. Since ζ_p is a root of $X^p - 1 = (X-1)\Phi_p(X)$ but not of $(X-1)$, we have $\Phi_p(\zeta_p) = 0$. Therefore, $\Phi_p(X)$, being monic, is the minimal polynomial of ζ_p over \mathbf{Q} .
- (b) For each $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, we have $\zeta_p^i \in K$ is also a root of $X^p - 1 = (X-1)\Phi_p(X)$ but not of $(X-1)$, we have $\Phi_p(\zeta_p^i) = 0$. Thus K/\mathbf{Q} is a Galois extension whose Galois group is $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q}) = \{\sigma_i; \sigma_i(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^i, 1 \leq i \leq p-1\}$. So

$$\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}} = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^i) = (p-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \zeta_p^i = (p-1) - (\Phi_p(\zeta_p) - 1) = (p-1) - (-1) = p,$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbf{Q}} = \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^i) = \Phi_p(1) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} 1^i = p.$$

- (c) Notice that ζ_p is a root of a monic $\Phi_p \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$, so ζ_p is integral over \mathbf{Z} . For each $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $z = m \prod_{i=2}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^i) \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Then $z(1 - \zeta_p) = m \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^i) = mp$. Thus $p\mathbf{Z} \subset (1 - \zeta_p)\mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathbf{Z}$. On the other hand, suppose $z \in \mathcal{O}_K$ satisfies

$m = z(1 - \zeta_p) \in \mathbf{Z}$. Taking norms on both sides, we get $m^p = \mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(z)p$. Since z is integral, $\mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(z) \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have $p \mid m^p$, so $p \mid m$. Thus $(1 - \zeta_p)\mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathbf{Z} \subset p\mathbf{Z}$. As a consequence, $(1 - \zeta_p)\mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathbf{Z} = p\mathbf{Z}$.

Now take any $y \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Observe that for each $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, we have

$$\sigma_i(y(1 - \zeta_p)) = \sigma_i(y)(1 - \zeta_p^i) = (1 - \zeta_p)(1 + \zeta_p + \cdots + \zeta_p^{i-1})\sigma_i(y) \in (1 - \zeta_p)\mathcal{O}_K,$$

so $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(y(1 - \zeta_p)) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sigma_i(y(1 - \zeta_p)) \in (1 - \zeta_p)\mathcal{O}_K$ as well. On the other hand, $y(1 - \zeta_p) \in \mathcal{O}_K$, so its trace is in \mathbf{Z} . Therefore $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(y(1 - \zeta_p)) \in (1 - \zeta_p)\mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathbf{Z} = p\mathbf{Z}$.

- (d) Let $y = b_0 + b_1\zeta_p + \cdots + b_{p-1}\zeta_p^{p-1} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ where $b_i \in \mathbf{Q}$. Notice that for any $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, ζ_p^i is a conjugate of ζ_p over \mathbf{Q} , so

$$\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\zeta_p^i) = \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p + \zeta_p^2 + \cdots + \zeta_p^{p-1} = -1.$$

Set $b_p = b_0$, then for any $1 \leq l \leq p-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p\mathbf{Z} \ni \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}((\zeta^l y)(1 - \zeta_p)) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} b_i \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\zeta_p^{i+l} - \zeta_p^{i+l+1}) \\ &= b_{p-l} \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(1 - \zeta_p) + b_{p-l-1} \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\zeta_p^{p-1} - 1) \\ &= p(b_{p-l} - b_{p-l-1}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $b_{p-l} - b_{p-l-1} \in \mathbf{Z}$. Thus $b_i - b_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p-1$. Notice that

$$y - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (b_i - b_0)\zeta_p^i = b_0(1 + \zeta_p + \cdots + \zeta_p^{p-1}) = 0,$$

so $y = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (b_i - b_0)\zeta_p^i \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_p]$. Therefore $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_p]$.

Problem 6. Let $\theta \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ be a root of the polynomial $f(X) = X^3 + 12X^2 + 8X + 1$. Let $K = \mathbf{Q}(\theta)$.

- (a) Let $g(X) = X^3 + pX + q \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$. Compute the discriminant $\text{disc}(g)$ of $g(X)$ in terms of p, q .
- (b) Show that $f(X)$ is irreducible over \mathbf{Q} .
- (c) Compute the discriminant $d_K(1, \theta, \theta^2)$. Please provide necessary details.
- (d) For any arbitrary number field F of degree n , let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{O}_F$. Find and verify a sufficient condition in terms of the discriminant $d_F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ that the a_1, \dots, a_n form an integral basis of F .
- (e) Write down an explicit integral basis of K in terms of θ by using the above sufficient condition you have found. Please justify your arguments.

Solution:

- (a) Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ be three roots of g , then by Viète's theorem

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_3\alpha_1 = p, \quad \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 = -q.$$

According to the theory of symmetric polynomials, $\text{disc}(g) = [(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1)]^2$ can be expressed as a polynomial H of p, q . For any $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, consider

$$g_\lambda(X) = (X - \lambda\alpha_1)(X - \lambda\alpha_2)(X - \lambda\alpha_3) = X^3 + \lambda^2 pX + \lambda^3 qX,$$

we obtain that $H(\lambda^2 p, \lambda^3 q) = \lambda^6 H(p, q)$. Thus $H(p, q)$ has an expression such that each of its monomials has shape $n_{i,j} p^i q^j$ with $n_{i,j} \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ and $2i + 3j = 6$. Thus $(i, j) = (3, 0)$ or $(0, 2)$, which means $\text{disc}(g) = ap^3 + bq^2$ for some fixed $a, b \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Let $g(X) = X^3 - X = X(X-1)(X+1)$, we have

$$a \cdot (-1)^3 + b \cdot 0^2 = [(0-1)(0-(-1))(1-(-1))]^2 = 4 \Rightarrow a = -4.$$

Let $g(X) = X^3 - 1 = X(X - \omega)(X - \omega^{-1})$, where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$, then we have

$$a \cdot 0^3 + b \cdot (-1)^2 = [(1 - \omega)(1 - \omega^{-1})(\omega - \omega^{-1})]^2 = (1 - \omega)^6 = (\sqrt{-3}e^{2\pi i/6})^6 = -27 \Rightarrow b = -27.$$

Therefore $\text{disc}(g) = -(4p^3 + 27q^2)$.

(b) Suppose f is reducible over \mathbf{Q} , then it has a factor $X - \tau$ where $\tau \in \mathbf{Q}$. Since τ is a root of $f(X)$, a monic integer polynomial, we have $\tau \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Thus $\tau \in \mathbf{Q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbf{Z}$. Notice that $\tau(\tau^2 + 12\tau + 8) = -1$, we obtain that $\tau \mid 1$. Thus $\tau = \pm 1$. But a direct computation shows that neither of ± 1 is a root of $f(X)$, a contradiction.

(c) By (b) we see that $f(X)$ is the minimal polynomial of θ over \mathbf{Q} . Let $\theta_1 = \theta, \theta_2, \theta_3$ be the three roots of $f(X)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_K(1, \theta, \theta^2) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \theta_1 & \theta_1^2 \\ 1 & \theta_2 & \theta_2^2 \\ 1 & \theta_3 & \theta_3^2 \end{pmatrix}^2 \\ &= [(\theta_1 - \theta_2)(\theta_2 - \theta_3)(\theta_3 - \theta_1)]^2 \\ &= [((\theta_1 + 4) - (\theta_2 + 4))((\theta_2 + 4) - (\theta_3 + 4))((\theta_3 + 4) - (\theta_1 + 4))]^2 \\ &= \text{disc}(f(X - 4)) \\ &= \text{disc}(X^3 - 40X + 97) \\ &= -[4 \cdot (-40)^3 + 3 \cdot 97^2] \\ &= 1957. \end{aligned}$$

(d) We claim that if $d_F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is a square free integer, then a_1, \dots, a_n is an integral basis of F . To see this, fix an integral basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ of F . Then for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exist $t_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $a_i = \sum_{j=1}^n t_{ij}\omega_j$. Let $T = (t_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in M_n(\mathbf{Z})$. Then linear algebra gives the following relation of integers

$$d_F(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (\det T)^2 d_F(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n).$$

Since the left hand side is square free, we have $\det T = \pm 1$. This implies that T^{-1} has integer entries as well, thus $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ can be written as \mathbf{Z} -linear combinations of a_1, \dots, a_n . Therefore, a_1, \dots, a_n is an integral basis of F .

(e) Since $d_K(1, \theta, \theta^2) = 1957 = 19 \cdot 103$ is square free, $1, \theta, \theta^2$ is an integral basis of K by (d).